



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 455 (20th Year)

August 1965

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Subscription \$3.00 Yearly

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1999 • FOUNDER • 1963

Washington Directs Social Revolution

"We Shall Overcome" . . . L.B.J. Embraces Communist Battle Cry In Voting Rights Speech

—Before Congress, March 15, 1965

Selma, Chicago, Bogalusa, Americus, Any-one's Hometown, U. S. A., — the pattern is always the same.

If you had been told that the Federal Government had ordered the U.S. Army to draw up plans for the military occupation of a peaceful, southern city of 30,000 people, and that the 101st Airborne Division had sent a general and two colonels to this city to reconnoiter and draw up plans for a military invasion, your reaction probably would have been:

How could such an incredible thing happen?

What foreseeable event could have anticipated the complete bypassing of all local civil enforcement authorities???

Incredulous as it may seem, the above events set the stage for the parade of sexual orgies more commonly known as the Selma-Montgomery "Freedom" march of 1965.

In a letter to Representative Armistead I. Selden Jr. of Alabama from Brigadier General F. W. Boye Jr., Deputy Chief of Legislative liaison, dated March 2, 1964, we find the following: "... Brigadier General Eschenberg, Lieutenant Colonel Miller, and Lieutenant Colonel Jones, all of the 101st Airborne Division, did visit Selma, Ala., on the 3rd day of October 1963. The visit was made under authority emanating from the Department of the Army, where information had been received indicating that a civil disturbance beyond the capability of local authority to handle was a possibility in the Selma area. The Department of the Army issued instructions which resulted in the 101st Airborne Division being directed to develop a contingency plan for assistance should such aid be directed. As is customary and prudent in such contingency planning, discreet, limited reconnaissance was authorized by the Department of the Army..."

Bear in mind that this "discreet, limited reconnaissance" was being conducted in October 1963, approximately 18 months before the actual Selma demonstrations occurred in late March of 1965.

A thorough search was made of the written records of the Justice Department, the Department of Defense, and the Department of the Army, and in an affidavit made by Solis Horwitz, Assistant Secretary of Defense, it was stated in part: "said search revealed no written report to the Department of Defense, or to any of its components, from the Department of Justice prior to October 3, 1963, concerning possible civil disturbances in Selma, or the need for an Army reconnaissance..." It would seem that the respective U.S. Departments of Justice, the Army and the Defense have been conducting the Nation's business without reducing anything to writing.



Left to right: ROY WILKINS (NAACP), JAMES FARMER (CORE), MARTIN LUTHER KING (SCLC), WHITNEY YOUNG (NUL) and LBJ.

IS TREASON THE REASON FOR THIS WHITE HOUSE MEETING?

ENTER JAMES FOREMAN & CO.

On or about October 28, 1963, an automobile containing Wolf Dawson, Thelton Henderson and James Foreman drove into Selma, Alabama. This carload of agitators was apparently the foreseen civil disturbance which had prompted the 101st Airborne Division to reconnoiter Selma on October 3rd.

Wolf Dawson alias Curtis Hampton alias Frank Dawson, a Negro gunman and dope addict, had been released from the Illinois Penitentiary only 4 days before he drove into Selma. He was subsequently arrested by Selma authorities and the planned civil disturbance was averted for the time being.

Dawson's second traveling companion, Thelton Henderson, who was at that time an attorney for the Civil Rights Division of the Justice Department, was later fired when it was revealed that Martin Luther King was being driven around Alabama by a Justice Department agent in a rented car paid for by the U. S. Government.

Dawson and Henderson were also accompanied by James Foreman, who is the executive secretary of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC).

James Foreman's behaviour pattern is best exemplified by this sworn affidavit of a Montgomery, Ala. Negro (April 12, 1965): "During a 3-day period which I believe to be around March 8, 9, and 10, 1965, a great many people began to arrive in Montgomery to demonstrate here and to get ready for the march from Selma to Montgomery. During this period, I was frequently in and around the Ben Moore Hotel, a Negro hotel at 902 Highland Avenue, which was headquarters of the Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee on the corner of Jackson and High Streets. . . . SNCC headquarters was located in a building with a large room up front which was used for an office. Off this room, in back, was a smaller room in which were about 12 to 15 canvas cots. During the period I am talking about, men and women used this room for sex freely and openly without interference. On one occasion, I saw James Foreman, executive secretary of SNCC, and a red-haired white girl whose name is Rachel, on one of the cots together. They engaged in sexual intercourse as well as an abnormal sex act which consisted of (deleted). Foreman and the girl, Rachel, made no effort to hide their actions..."

(Speech of Representative William Dickinson of Alabama, Congressional Record, 4/27/65)

ALABAMA REPORT CITES RED INFLUENCE

On June 29, 1965, a 5-man Commission to Preserve the Peace, appointed in 1963 by the Alabama Legislature, released a 39-page report concerning various "civil rights" groups.

—o— Please turn to page 4 —o—

Vietnamese "Puzzlement"

RELEASING CHIANG'S ONE MILLION TRAINED TROOPS WILL WIN WAR

By P. A. Del Valle, President
Defenders of
The American Constitution

In the play "The King And I" the King of Siam employed the word "puzzlement" to describe his inability to comprehend the curious inconsistencies of the tangled web of European politics and morals. So we may borrow the word from him to describe our inability to comprehend the policies pursued by the Federal Agency of Government charged in Article 2 of the Constitution with foreign relations.

Vietnam, much in the news today, is a case in point. How did we manage to fall heir to the responsibility for such a remote

and unlikely spot of hot jungle? France had a colony in which all of Vietnam was included. They called it Indo-China. Until the fetish of anti-colonialism and the establishment of Marxist China came along, the French did right well. Then, after much blood and many years of terror and trouble, the French were cleared out, the colony was split into its separate parts, and Vietnam itself divided between North and South. To top it all off the North was given outright to the Reds and the governments of the other pieces of the "Puzzlement", provided with neat "democratic" governments, each equipped with equal parts of Marxism, Neutralism and so-called Nationalism.

—o— Please turn to page 2 —o—

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN 1897 RULED THAT "CIVIL RIGHTS" WERE NOT CIVIL RIGHTS AT ALL
BUT SOCIAL RIGHTS, AND HENCE NOT AMENABLE TO LEGISLATION